

<h1 style="margin: 0;">Outcomes Committee Minuets</h1>	<p>Monday, March 26, 2018 BE 314 3:00 – 4:30pm</p>
--	---

Type of Meeting: *Regular*
Note Taker: *Wendy Stout*
Please Review/Bring: Review the past minutes for accuracy.

Sub Committee Members:
 Glenn Haller, Chair
 Meeta Goel, Cochair
 Svetlana Deplazes, Research Analyst
 LaDonna Trimble , Student Services Dean
 Stacey Adams, Faculty Division Rep
 Tiesha Klundt, Faculty Area Rep
 Gary Heaton-Smith, Faculty Division Rep
 Cindy Hendrix, Faculty Division Rep
 Cynthia Lehman, Faculty Division Rep
 Scott Lee, Faculty Area Rep
 Tim Lynskey, Faculty Division Rep
 Karen Heinzman, Faculty Division Rep
 Candace Martin, Faculty Division Rep
 Tom O’Neil, Academic Dean
 Mary Rose Toll, Faculty Division Rep
 Joe Owens, Faculty Division Rep
 Wendy Stout, Faculty Division Rep
 Eugenie Trow, Faculty Division Rep
 Stephen Langjahr, Faculty Division Rep
 Nathan Dillon, Adjunct Rep

Items	Person	Action
I. Approval of Agenda		<p><u>Action Taken:</u> Moved and seconded: approved</p> <p><u>Items added:</u></p>
II. Opening Comments from the Chairs a	<i>Glenn/ Meeta</i>	<p><u>Action Taken:</u> Communication between this committee and implementation seems to not be open and there is no good flow. Glenn asked that those on the committee let them know we can and will communicate with them, that we are here to help and this committee is not against change. Glenn believes better communication will help get implementation moving faster.</p>

		<p>Cindy who is on the implementation committee stated she would take this back to them.</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
III. Approval of Minutes	Glenn	<p><u>Action Taken:</u></p> <p>Moved and seconded: Approved</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
IV. Informational Item – Outcomes Committee Schedule 4/9/2018, 4/23/2018	Glenn	<p><u>Issues Discussed:</u></p> <p><u>Action Taken:</u></p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
V. Discussion/Action Item – Question 5 – Approval and Revisions Workflow	Glenn	<p><u>Issues Discussed:</u></p> <p>Moved and seconded: Some discussion was made about the meaning of facility in the work flow. Also SLO/PLO. Approved with changes to Discipline/Department Facility, change SLO to SLO/PLO and at the end adding return to facility – facility revise –return to SLO reps – To outcomes agenda.</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
VI. Discussion/Action Item – Question 2 – Mastery Levels	Glenn	<p><u>Issues Discussed:</u></p> <p>Do we want 3, 4 or 5 levels of mastery Much discussion was had about what the mastery level should be. The following questions came up</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is it measured per student or per course? ACCJC is not measuring on the student level. 2. If using met, not met, exceeds we would be talking at the course level not student level? 3. Exceed will have to be defined just like achievement targets. <p>Moved to Approve that there should be 3 levels of Mastery. This was seconded and Approved.</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>

<p>VII. Discussion/Action Item – Question 3 – Assessment Scales</p>	<p>Glenn</p>	<p><u>Issues Discussed:</u> Move to approve percentage score to be the default. Seconded and Approved.</p> <p>Currently we using %, ratio, rubric, checklist but we would like to know what is available in eLumen.</p> <p>We will use current process for approval.</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
<p>VIII. Discussion/Action Item – Question 6 and 7 – Non- Academic org types and General ILOs</p>	<p>Glenn</p>	<p><u>Issues Discussed:</u> Held until Meeta Goel can be here</p> <p><u>Follow Up Items:</u></p>
<p>NEXT MEETING DATE: 4/9/2018</p>		